## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TYLER DIVISION

| TRAVIS TORGERSON,   | §      |                              |
|---------------------|--------|------------------------------|
| Plaintiff,          | §<br>§ |                              |
|                     | §      |                              |
| v.                  | § (    | Case No. 6:19-cv-514-JDK-JDL |
|                     | §      |                              |
| C. GREGORY, et al., | §      |                              |
|                     | §      |                              |
| Defendants.         | §      |                              |

## ORDER ADOPTING THE REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Plaintiff Travis Torgerson, proceeding pro se, filed this civil rights lawsuit under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The case was referred to United States Magistrate Judge John D. Love pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636. On December 2, 2020, Judge Love issued a Report recommending that the Court grant Defendant the City of Malakoff's motion for summary judgment and deny as moot the City's motion to dismiss. Docket No. 69. A copy of the Report was mailed to Plaintiff and was delivered on December 16, 2020. Docket No. 70.

This Court reviews the findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge de novo only if a party objects within fourteen days of service of the Report and Recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). In conducting a de novo review, the Court examines the entire record and makes an independent assessment under the law. Douglass v. United Servs. Auto. Ass'n, 79 F.3d 1415, 1430 (5th Cir. 1996) (en banc), superseded on other grounds by statute, 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (extending the time to file objections from ten to fourteen days). Here, Plaintiff did not object in the

prescribed period. The Court therefore reviews the Magistrate Judge's findings for

clear error or abuse of discretion and reviews the legal conclusions to determine

whether they are contrary to law. See United States v. Wilson, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221

(5th Cir. 1989), cert. denied, 492 U.S. 918 (1989) (holding that, if no objections to a

Magistrate Judge's Report are filed, the standard of review is "clearly erroneous,

abuse of discretion and contrary to law").

Having reviewed the Magistrate Judge's Report and the record in this case,

the Court finds no clear error or abuse of discretion and no conclusions contrary to

law. Accordingly, the Court hereby **ADOPTS** the Report and Recommendation of the

United States Magistrate Judge (Docket No. 69) as the findings of this Court. The

Court **GRANTS** the City of Malakoff's motion for summary judgment (Docket No. 54)

and DISMISSES Plaintiff's claims against the City with prejudice. The City of

Malakoff's motion to dismiss (Docket No. 40) is **DENIED** as moot. This dismissal

does not affect Plaintiff's remaining claims against Defendants Charles Gregory or

Brett Southard.

So ORDERED and SIGNED this 25th day of January, 2021.

JEREMY D. KERNODLE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2